

involve

a journal of mathematics

Gonality of random graphs

Andrew Deveau, David Jensen, Jenna Kainic and Dan Mitropolsky



Gonality of random graphs

Andrew Deveau, David Jensen, Jenna Kainic and Dan Mitropolsky

(Communicated by Ravi Vakil)

The gonality of a graph is a discrete analogue of the similarly named geometric invariant of algebraic curves. Motivated by recent progress in Brill–Noether theory for graphs, we study the gonality of random graphs. In particular, we show that the gonality of a random graph is asymptotic to the number of vertices.

1. Introduction

In the moduli space of curves, the locus of Brill–Noether general curves is a dense open subset [Griffiths and Harris 1980]. In the moduli space of tropical curves, however, the Brill–Noether general locus is open [Lim et al. 2012; Len 2014] and nonempty [Cools et al. 2012], but it is not dense [Jensen 2014]. A natural question, therefore, is *how likely is it that a graph is Brill–Noether general?*

In this paper, we approach this question by studying the gonality of Erdős–Rényi random graphs. Recall that an Erdős–Rényi random graph $G(n, p)$ is obtained by fixing n vertices and, for each pair of vertices, introducing an edge between them with probability p . We will often refer to such graphs simply as random graphs, where the probability distribution is understood to be that of Erdős–Rényi. It is common to define the probability p as a function of n , and to consider the expected value of combinatorial invariants as n increases. Throughout, we use \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{E} to denote the probability and expected value, respectively.

The current article is a natural follow-up to other recent work on the divisor theory of random graphs. Most notably, Lorenzini [2008] asked about the distribution of divisor class groups of random graphs, and in [Clancy et al. 2015b] it is conjectured that they are distributed according to a variation of the Cohen–Lenstra heuristics. This conjecture is proved in [Wood 2014], expanding on the preliminary work of [Clancy et al. 2015a].

Before stating our main result, we briefly recall the basic theory of divisors on graphs. For a more detailed account, see [Baker and Norine 2007] and [Baker 2008]. A *divisor* on a simple graph G is an element of the free abelian group on the

MSC2010: 05C80, 14H51, 14T05.

Keywords: random graphs, gonality, chip-firing, Brill–Noether theory.

vertices of G , and a divisor $D = \sum_{v \in V(G)} a_v v$ is said to be *effective* if $a_v \geq 0$ for all v . Given a divisor $D = \sum_{v \in V(G)} a_v v$ and a vertex v' , we may *fire* v' to obtain a new divisor $D' = \sum_{v \in V(G)} b_v v$, where

$$b_v = \begin{cases} a_v - \text{val}(v) & \text{if } v = v', \\ a_v + 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is adjacent to } v', \\ a_v & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{val}(v)$ is the valence of the vertex v . Two divisors are *equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by firing a sequence of vertices, and we say that a divisor D has positive rank if $D - v$ is equivalent to an effective divisor for all vertices v in G . The *gonality* $\text{gon}(G)$ is the smallest degree of a divisor with positive rank. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p(n) = c(n)/n$, and suppose that $\log(n) \ll c(n) \ll n$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(\text{gon}(G(n, p))) \sim n.$$

Theorem 1.1 essentially says that the expected gonality of a random graph is as high as possible. We note, however, that the gonality of random graphs nevertheless falls short of that for a general curve, as the genus of a random graph is asymptotically $\frac{1}{2}c(n)n$, and if $c(n)$ is unbounded, this grows faster than n . From this perspective, it may be more natural to study the gonality of random *regular* graphs, as the genus of such graphs grows in proportion to the number of vertices. The case of 3-regular graphs would be particularly interesting, as such graphs correspond to top-dimensional strata of the moduli space of tropical curves.

Although Theorem 1.1 follows directly from the earlier work of de Bruyn and Gijswijt [2014] and Wang et al. [2011], it appears to be unknown to experts in tropical Brill–Noether theory. At the time of writing, we became aware of simultaneous work by Amini and Kool [2016], in which they use an improvement on the spectral methods of [Cornelissen et al. 2015] to show that the gonality of a random graph is bounded above and below by constant multiples of n . Our results are essentially a tightening of these bounds, so that both upper and lower bounds are asymptotic to n , which indeed is conjectured in [Amini and Kool 2016, Section 5.2]. Their techniques apply additionally to metric graphs, which we do not discuss here, and to the case of random regular graphs, which they show to have gonality bounded above and below by constant multiples of n as well.

Also of note is the bound that we provide on the error term $n - \mathbb{E}(\text{gon}(G(n, p)))$ (see Theorem 3.3). In the future, it would be interesting to explore with what precision we can bound this term.

A more complete study of the Brill–Noether theory of random graphs would involve divisors of rank greater than one. A natural generalization of the current

line of inquiry would be to study the Clifford index of random graphs, defined as

$$\text{Cliff}(G) := \min_{D \in \text{Jac}(G)} \{ \deg(D) - 2r(D) \mid r(D) > 0 \text{ and } r(K_G - D) > 0 \}.$$

Note that if the minimum in this expression is obtained by a divisor of rank one, then $\text{Cliff}(G) = \text{gon}(G) - 2$. The Clifford index of an algebraic curve C is known to always be either $\text{gon}(C) - 2$ or $\text{gon}(C) - 3$ [Coppens and Martens 1991]. The corresponding statement remains open for graphs, but if true, it would imply that the Clifford index of a random graph is asymptotic to the number of vertices as well.

2. A lower bound

In this section, we obtain a lower bound on the expected gonality of a random graph. The first step is to identify a lower bound for the gonality of an arbitrary graph. This is done in [de Bruyn and Gijswijt 2014], where it is shown that the *treewidth* of a graph is a lower bound for the gonality.

Definition 2.1. A *tree decomposition* of a graph G is a tree T whose nodes are subsets of the vertices of G , satisfying the following properties:

- (1) Each vertex of G is contained in at least one node of T .
- (2) If two nodes of T both contain a given vertex v , then all nodes of the tree in the unique path between these two nodes must contain v as well.
- (3) If two vertices v and w are adjacent in G , then there is a node of T that contains both v and w .

The *width* of a tree decomposition is one less than the number of vertices in its largest node. The *treewidth* $\text{tw}(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum width among all possible tree decompositions of G .

Proposition 2.2 [de Bruyn and Gijswijt 2014]. *Let G be a simple connected graph. Then*

$$\text{gon}(G) \geq \text{tw}(G).$$

Although we will not use it, we note the following simple consequence.

Corollary 2.2.1. *For a simple connected graph G ,*

$$\text{gon}(G) \geq \min\{\text{val}(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}.$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 2.2 and the fact that $\text{tw}(G) \geq \min\{\text{val}(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$ (see [Bodlaender and Koster 2011]). \square

The treewidth of random graphs has been studied extensively in [Wang et al. 2011; Gao 2012].

Lemma 2.3 [Wang et al. 2011]. *Let $p(n) = c(n)/n$, and suppose that $c(n) \ll n$ is unbounded. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{tw}(G(n, p)) \geq n - o(n)) = 1.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $p(n) = c(n)/n$, and suppose that $\log(n) \ll c(n) \ll n$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{gon}(G(n, p)) \geq n - o(n)) = 1.$$

Proof. A random graph is always simple, and by a well-known result of Erdős and Rényi [1959], the assumption $c(n) \gg \log(n)$ implies that such a graph is connected with probability approaching 1. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{gon}(G(n, p)) \geq n - o(n) \text{ and } G(n, p) \text{ is connected}) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{gon}(G(n, p)) \geq n - o(n)). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2, if $G(n, p)$ is connected, then $\text{gon}(G(n, p)) \geq \text{tw}(G(n, p))$, and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{gon}(G(n, p)) \geq n - o(n)) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\text{tw}(G(n, p)) \geq n - o(n)) = 1,$$

where the final equality follows from Lemma 2.3. □

3. An upper bound

In this section, we obtain an upper bound on the expected gonality of a random graph. Together with the results of the previous section, this will imply that the gonality of a random graph is asymptotically equal to the number of vertices. We note that the number of vertices n is a very simple upper bound for the gonality of a graph and, together with Theorem 2.4, this would be enough to establish the main theorem. We actually go a bit further and obtain a bound on the expected value of $n - \text{gon}(G(n, p))$. In the future, it would be interesting to explore this with higher precision.

Recall that an *independent set* in a graph is a set of vertices, no pair of which are connected by an edge. The *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ of a graph G is defined to be the maximal size of an independent set.

Proposition 3.1. *If G is a simple connected graph with n vertices, then $\text{gon}(G) \leq n - \alpha(G)$.*

Proof. Let I be a maximal independent set, and let D be the sum of the vertices in the complement of I . We will show that D has positive rank. If $v \notin I$, then $D - v$ is effective by definition. On the other hand, if $v \in I$, then since all of the neighbors of v are not in I and the graph is simple, by firing all of the vertices other than v we obtain an effective divisor equivalent to D with at least one chip on v . It follows that D has rank at least one, hence $\text{gon}(G) \leq \text{deg}(D) = n - \alpha(G)$. □

Note that gonality $n - 1$ is achieved by the complete graph K_n , so this bound is sharp. Note further that the complete graph is the only simple graph with n vertices whose gonality is $n - 1$.

The expected independence number of a random graph has been studied in [Frieze 1990].

Lemma 3.2 [Frieze 1990]. *Let $p(n) = c(n)/n$, and suppose that $c(n) \ll n$ is unbounded. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \alpha(G(n, p)) - \frac{2}{p(n)} (\log c(n) - \log \log c(n) - \log 2 + 1) \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{p(n)} \right) = 1.$$

From this, we can conclude the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p(n) = c(n)/n$, and suppose that $\log(n) \ll c(n) \ll n$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\text{gon}(G(n, p)) \leq n - \frac{2}{p(n)} (\log c(n) - \log \log c(n) - \log 2 + 1) \right) = 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\alpha(G(n, p)) > \frac{2}{p(n)} (\log c(n) - \log \log c(n) - \log 2 + 1 - \epsilon)$$

with probability 1 as n approaches infinity. By Proposition 3.1, the number $n - \alpha(G(n, p))$ is an upper bound for the gonality of $G(n, p)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Again, the assumption that $c(n) \gg \log(n)$ implies that the random graph is connected with high probability. By Theorem 3.3, the gonality of a random graph is bounded above by $n - o(n)$. Similarly, by Theorem 2.4, the gonality of a random graph is bounded below by $n - o(n)$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\text{gon}(G(n, p))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n - o(n)}{n} = 1. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgements

This paper was written as part of the 2014 Summer Undergraduate Math Research at Yale (SUMRY) program. We would like to extend our thanks to everyone involved in the program, and in particular to Sam Payne, who suggested this project. We also thank Matt Kahle for a particularly fruitful discussion.

References

- [Amini and Kool 2016] O. Amini and J. Kool, “A spectral lower bound for the divisorial gonality of metric graphs”, *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **2016**:8 (2016), 2423–2450.
- [Baker 2008] M. Baker, “Specialization of linear systems from curves to graphs”, *Algebra Number Theory* **2**:6 (2008), 613–653. MR 2448666 Zbl 1162.14018
- [Baker and Norine 2007] M. Baker and S. Norine, “Riemann–Roch and Abel–Jacobi theory on a finite graph”, *Adv. Math.* **215**:2 (2007), 766–788. MR 2355607 Zbl 1124.05049

- [Bodlaender and Koster 2011] H. L. Bodlaender and A. M. C. A. Koster, “Treewidth computations, II: Lower bounds”, *Inform. and Comput.* **209**:7 (2011), 1103–1119. MR 2829452 Zbl 1220.68071
- [de Bruyn and Gijswijt 2014] J. v. D. de Bruyn and D. Gijswijt, “Treewidth is a lower bound on graph gonality”, preprint, 2014. arXiv 1407.7055
- [Clancy et al. 2015a] J. Clancy, N. Kaplan, T. Leake, S. Payne, and M. Wood, “On a Cohen–Lenstra heuristic for Jacobians of random graphs”, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **42**:3 (2015), 701–723. MR 3403177 Zbl 1325.05146
- [Clancy et al. 2015b] J. Clancy, T. Leake, and S. Payne, “A note on Jacobians, Tutte polynomials, and two-variable zeta functions of graphs”, *Exp. Math.* **24**:1 (2015), 1–7. MR 3305035 Zbl 1310.05121
- [Cools et al. 2012] F. Cools, J. Draisma, S. Payne, and E. Robeva, “A tropical proof of the Brill–Noether theorem”, *Adv. Math.* **230**:2 (2012), 759–776. MR 2914965 Zbl 1325.14080
- [Coppens and Martens 1991] M. Coppens and G. Martens, “Secant spaces and Clifford’s theorem”, *Compositio Math.* **78**:2 (1991), 193–212. MR 1104787 Zbl 0741.14035
- [Cornelissen et al. 2015] G. Cornelissen, F. Kato, and J. Kool, “A combinatorial Li–Yau inequality and rational points on curves”, *Math. Ann.* **361**:1-2 (2015), 211–258. MR 3302619 Zbl 06399408
- [Erdős and Rényi 1959] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, “On random graphs, I”, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **6** (1959), 290–297. MR 0120167 Zbl 0092.15705
- [Frieze 1990] A. M. Frieze, “On the independence number of random graphs”, *Discrete Math.* **81**:2 (1990), 171–175. MR 1054975 Zbl 0712.05052
- [Gao 2012] Y. Gao, “Treewidth of Erdős–Rényi random graphs, random intersection graphs, and scale-free random graphs”, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **160**:4-5 (2012), 566–578. MR 2876340 Zbl 1239.05166
- [Griffiths and Harris 1980] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, “On the variety of special linear systems on a general algebraic curve”, *Duke Math. J.* **47**:1 (1980), 233–272. MR 563378 Zbl 0446.14011
- [Jensen 2014] D. Jensen, “The locus of Brill–Noether general graphs is not dense”, preprint, 2014. arXiv 1405.6338
- [Len 2014] Y. Len, “The Brill–Noether rank of a tropical curve”, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **40**:3 (2014), 841–860. Zbl 06371201
- [Lim et al. 2012] C. M. Lim, S. Payne, and N. Potashnik, “A note on Brill–Noether theory and rank-determining sets for metric graphs”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2012**:23 (2012), 5484–5504. MR 2999150 Zbl 1328.14099
- [Lorenzini 2008] D. Lorenzini, “Smith normal form and Laplacians”, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **98**:6 (2008), 1271–1300. MR 2462319 Zbl 1175.05088
- [Wang et al. 2011] C. Wang, T. Liu, P. Cui, and K. Xu, “A note on treewidth in random graphs”, pp. 491–499 in *Combinatorial optimization and applications*, edited by W. Wang et al., Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **6831**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. MR 2878459 Zbl 05938199
- [Wood 2014] M. M. Wood, “The distribution of sandpile groups of random graphs”, preprint, 2014. arXiv 1402.5149

Received: 2015-07-22 Revised: 2015-08-28 Accepted: 2015-08-30

andrew.deveau@yale.edu	Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, United States
dave.h.jensen@gmail.com	Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, 719 Patterson Office Tower, Lexington, KY 40506, United States
jenna.kainic@yale.edu	Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, United States
daniel.mitropolsky@yale.edu	Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, United States

involve

msp.org/involve

INVOLVE YOUR STUDENTS IN RESEARCH

Involve showcases and encourages high-quality mathematical research involving students from all academic levels. The editorial board consists of mathematical scientists committed to nurturing student participation in research. Bridging the gap between the extremes of purely undergraduate research journals and mainstream research journals, *Involve* provides a venue to mathematicians wishing to encourage the creative involvement of students.

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut Wake Forest University, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam, USA	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College, USA	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA	Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor


Cover: Alex Scorpan

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2016 is US \$160/year for the electronic version, and \$215/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2016

vol. 9

no. 4

Affine hyperbolic toral automorphisms COLIN THOMSON AND DONNA K. MOLINEK	541
Rings of invariants for the three-dimensional modular representations of elementary abelian p -groups of rank four THÉO PIERRON AND R. JAMES SHANK	551
Bootstrap techniques for measures of center for three-dimensional rotation data L. KATIE WILL AND MELISSA A. BINGHAM	583
Graphs on 21 edges that are not 2-apex JAMISON BARSOTTI AND THOMAS W. MATTMAN	591
Mathematical modeling of a surface morphological instability of a thin monocrystal film in a strong electric field AARON WINGO, SELAHITTIN CINAR, KURT WOODS AND MIKHAIL KHENNER	623
Jacobian varieties of Hurwitz curves with automorphism group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ ALLISON FISCHER, MOUCHEN LIU AND JENNIFER PAULHUS	639
Avoiding approximate repetitions with respect to the longest common subsequence distance SERINA CAMUNGOL AND NARAD RAMPERSAD	657
Prime vertex labelings of several families of graphs NATHAN DIEFENDERFER, DANA C. ERNST, MICHAEL G. HASTINGS, LEVI N. HEATH, HANNAH PRAWZINSKY, BRIAHNA PRESTON, JEFF RUSHALL, EMILY WHITE AND ALYSSA WHITTEMORE	667
Presentations of Roger and Yang's Kauffman bracket arc algebra MARTIN BOBB, DYLAN PEIFER, STEPHEN KENNEDY AND HELEN WONG	689
Arranging kings k -dependently on hexagonal chessboards ROBERT DOUGHTY, JESSICA GONDA, ADRIANA MORALES, BERKELEY REISWIG, JOSIAH REISWIG, KATHERINE SLYMAN AND DANIEL PRITIKIN	699
Gonality of random graphs ANDREW DEVEAU, DAVID JENSEN, JENNA KAINIC AND DAN MITROPOLSKY	715



1944-4176(2016)9:4;1-1