

An **inner product** is an operation that takes two vectors \vec{v}, \vec{w} from the same vector space V and returns a number $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$.

It should satisfy the following properties for vectors $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w}$ in V and a scalar number c :

- $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$
- $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w} = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w} + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$
- $(c\vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v} = c(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) = \vec{u} \cdot (c\vec{v})$

It follows from these properties that for any vector \vec{u} , the transformation $\vec{u}^T : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \vec{v} \mapsto \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$ is a linear transformation, so \vec{u}^T must be a matrix.

If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, then the **standard inner product** takes \vec{u}^T to be the $1 \times n$ matrix whose entries

are the same as \vec{u} , just as a row instead of a column. So if $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$,

then

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{u}^T \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \cdots & u_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \dots + u_n v_n$$

If V consists of functions f so that $|f|^2$ is integrable, then its standard inner product is actually the integral: $\vec{f} \cdot \vec{g} = \int f(x)g(x) dx$. Electrical will use this a lot (Fourier series).

If V is space-time coordinates, then $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & -t \end{bmatrix}$ so that

$$\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2 - t_1 t_2$$

We'll be sticking with the standard inner product which has the following two important features (symmetric, definite):

- $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$
- $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u} > 0$ unless $\vec{u} = \vec{0}$

For such a nice inner product, we can also define the **length** of a vector as $\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}}$, the distance between two vectors \vec{u}, \vec{v} as the length of $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$, and the angle between them as the angle θ so that $\cos(\theta) = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|}$.

In general, we say that \vec{u} and \vec{v} are **orthogonal** if $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$. This basically means that $\theta = \pm 90^\circ$ (only exception being when $\|\vec{u}\|$ or $\|\vec{v}\|$ is 0).

A **unit length** vector is a vector \vec{v} of length $1 = \|\vec{v}\|$.

HW6.1 # 1,3,5,7,9,11

The most interesting things happen when we have a bunch of unit length vectors that are all orthogonal to each other. For example, let's look at this basis of \mathbb{R}^4 :

$$\vec{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\mathbf{\ell}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{\mathbf{h}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Can you write $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$ as a linear combination of $\vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{\ell}}, \vec{\mathbf{m}}, \vec{\mathbf{h}}$?

What if you weren't allowed to use $\vec{\mathbf{h}}$? What is the closest you could get to being a linear combination of $\vec{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\mathbf{\ell}}, \vec{\mathbf{m}}$?

What if you were only allowed to use $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$? What is the closest you could get to being a linear combination of $\vec{\mathbf{a}}$?

These are called the **projections** onto the span of the vectors you are allowed to use, and they can be computed as in theorem 5, page 339 in the book.

HW 6.2 # 1,3,5,7,9

6.1a Find a vector that is orthogonal to $\vec{v} = (3, 4)$. Can you find one that is unit length?

6.2a Find a vector that is orthogonal to $\vec{u} = (4, 4, 7)$ and $\vec{w} = (8, -1, -4)$. Can you find one that is unit length?

Hint: $\vec{x} = (1, 1, 1)$ is wrong, since it points in both the \vec{u} and the \vec{w} directions. Could remove the wrongness?