

## COMPREHENSIVE EXAM

### Statistical Inference

August 19, 1988

This is a closed book, closed notes exam. *Please start all problems on a new sheet of paper.*

1. Students seeking a Master's Level Pass may attempt any five problems.
2. Students seeking a Ph.D. Level Pass should attempt problems 6 through 10.
3. Students seeking a pass at both levels must clearly designate those problems to be considered for a Master's Pass.

$P^k$   
 $\sum_i ( )$

Part I: Students seeking a M.S. level pass should first attempt Problems 1-5.

1. (20 Points)

Let  $X$  be a random variable with probability density function

$$f(x) = 2xe^{-x^2}, \quad x > 0.$$

(a) Prove that all positive moments of  $X$  exists.

(b) Derive the  $k^{\text{th}}$  moments of  $X$ .

3. (20 Points)

Let  $X$  be Poisson random variable with mean  $\mu$ . Consider the problem of estimating  $\mu^2$ .

- (a) Show that  $T = X^2 - X$  is the unique minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of  $\mu^2$ .
- (b) What is the maximum likelihood estimator (m.l.e.) of  $\mu^2$ ?
- (c) Is  $T$  efficient? Is the m.l.e. efficient? Why?

[Hint:  $\text{Var}(T) = 4\mu^3 + 2\mu^2$ .]

2. (20 Points)

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. with cumulative distribution function

$$F(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = 1 - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{x} \right)^{\theta_2}, \quad x \geq \theta_1,$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are positive.

(a) Find a statistic, say

$$\mathbf{T}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (T_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), T_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)),$$

which is sufficient for  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ .

(b) Derive the maximum likelihood estimator of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ .

(c) Prove that  $\min(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is consistent in probability for estimating  $\theta_1$ .

4. (20 Points)

A coin with unknown probability of success  $\theta$  is tossed twice. Consider the statistical problem involving two decisions  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  with loss

$$W(\theta, d_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \theta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \theta > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$W(\theta, d_2) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \theta \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

i.e.,  $d_1$  corresponds to the statement that the coin is not biased towards heads and  $d_2$  the opposite.

Derive the Bayes procedure with respect to the prior  $\pi(\theta = \frac{1}{3}) = 0.2$ ,

$\pi(\theta = \frac{3}{4}) = 0.8$ .

5. (20 Points)

- (a) Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are uncorrelated random variables with  $EX_i = a_i\mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_i) = b_i\sigma^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $a_i$  and  $b_i > 0$  are known. Show that the best linear unbiased estimator of  $\mu$  (i.e. the minimum variance estimator in the class of all linear unbiased estimators) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i X_i / b_i) / \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 / b_i \right).$$

(Clearly state any theorems used.)

- (b) Two physics students, Nancy and John, measure the distance an object falls in a second (starting at rest). Nancy makes 5 measurements and John makes 10 measurements averaging 15.8 and 15.5, respectively. The variance of each measurement Nancy makes is  $\frac{1}{4}$  that of John's. What is your estimate of the distance? (State clearly the assumptions and criteria imposed.)
- (c) If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent normal random variables, what further properties does the estimator in (a) have?

6. (20 Points)

- (a) State the Neyman-Pearson lemma.
- (b) Prove that every Neyman-Pearson test is Bayes with respect to a prior under the 0-1 loss function.
- (c) Is every Neyman-Pearson test admissible? Justify your answer.

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid  $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\theta, \sigma$  both unknown  
 prove that the  $t$ -test is UMPU for testing

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$

$$H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$$

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \sim \text{iid } N(\theta_1, \sigma_1^2)$$

$$Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m \sim \text{iid } N(\theta_2, \sigma_2^2)$$

when

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$

$$H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2$$

$$H_A: \theta_1 \neq \theta_2$$

UMPV test?

## 7. (20 Points)

- (a) If  $T = T(X)$  is sufficient for the family  $\mathbb{P}$  of distributions  $P_\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ , of  $X$ , when is  $T$  said to be complete for  $\mathbb{P}$ ?
- (b) Suppose  $X = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m; Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$ , where  $Y_i$ 's are i.i.d.,  $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  variables independent of  $Z_j$ 's which are i.i.d.  $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$  variables.
- (i) If all the parameters are unknown (with known sample sizes  $m$  and  $n$ ), check whether the minimal sufficient statistic is complete and justify your answer.
- (ii) If  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$  (say), which is unknown, find the minimal sufficient statistic and give your reasons for stating whether it is complete.
- (c) State without proof a general version of Rao-Blackwell Theorem. (You are encouraged to state a more general version than the one usually used in the M.S. courses.)
- (d) In part (b)(i), suggest some good estimator of  $\sigma_1^2/\sigma_2^2$  and state briefly your reasons.



8. (20 Points)

- (a) Define a uniformly most powerful unbiased (UMPU) test for  $H: \theta \in \Omega_H$  against  $K: \theta \in \Omega_K$ .

If a UMP test exists, is it UMPU?

- (b) Suppose  $T$  is a sufficient statistic for the family  $\mathbb{P}_\omega = \{P_\theta, \theta \in \omega\}$ , and the test  $\phi$  has Neyman structure with respect to  $T$  for  $H_\omega: \theta \in \omega$ . Show that  $\phi$  is a similar test of  $H_\omega$ .

Does every similar test of  $H_\omega$  have Neyman structure? Under what conditions is this true?

- (c)  $X_i, i = 1, \dots, k$ , are independent binomial variables with probability of success  $\pi_i$  in each of  $n$  trials. Assume the model

$$\ln \frac{\pi_i}{1-\pi_i} = \alpha + \beta y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $y$ 's are known and  $\alpha, \beta$  are unknown parameters.

Find the UMPU test for  $H: \beta = \beta_0$  against  $\beta > \beta_0$ .

*good question*

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{x_i} \pi_i^{x_i} (1-\pi_i)^{n-x_i} &= \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{x_i} \left( \frac{\pi_i}{1-\pi_i} \right)^{x_i} (1-\pi_i)^n = \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{x_i} (1-\pi_i)^n e^{x_i \log \frac{\pi_i}{1-\pi_i}} = (1-\pi_i)^n e^{x_i(\alpha + \beta y_i)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{n}{x_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k (1-\pi_i)^n e^{\sum x_i (\alpha + \beta y_i)} \\ &= e^{2 \sum x_i + \beta \sum x_i y_i} \end{aligned}$$

9. (20 Points)

- (a) When is a distribution  $\Lambda$  over  $\Omega_H$  said to be least favorable for testing  $H: \theta \in \Omega_H$  against a simple hypothesis  $K_1: \theta = \theta_1$ ?
- (b) Suppose  $X$  has p.d.f.  $p_\theta(x)$  with respect to measure  $\mu$ , and

$$h_\lambda(x) = \int_{\Omega_H} p_\theta(x) d\Lambda(\theta),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is some probability distribution over  $\Omega_H$ .  $\phi_\lambda$  is a test which is most powerful at level  $\alpha$  for  $H_\lambda: X$  has p.d.f.  $h_\lambda(x)$  against  $K_1: \theta = \theta_1$ .

Show that if  $E_\theta \phi_\lambda(X) \leq \alpha$  for all  $\theta \in \Omega_H$ , then (i)  $\phi_\lambda$  is most powerful at level  $\alpha$  for testing  $H: \theta \in \Omega_H$  against  $K_1$ , and (ii)  $\Lambda$  is least favorable.

- (c) Use the result in (b) to derive the UMP test for

$$H: \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i \leq a,$$

against  $\sum \mu_i > a$ , given independent Poisson variables

$X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$  with means  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , where  $a$  is a given number.

10. (20 Points)

$X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a random sample from a distribution with probability density

$$f_\theta(y) = \frac{1}{\theta} f\left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right),$$

for some  $\theta > 0$ . Consider the class  $G$  of transformations  $g_b$  of  $X$  which transform  $X_i$  to  $X'_i = bX_i$  for  $0 < b < \infty$ .

- (i) Suppose  $h(\theta)$  is a real valued function to be estimated. When is the loss function said to be invariant under  $G$ ?

Give one loss function which is invariant and another which is not invariant for estimating  $h(\theta) = \theta^2$ .

- (ii) When is an estimator  $\delta(x)$  said to be equivariant for  $h(\theta)$ ?

Assuming any invariant loss function, give one estimator which is equivariant for  $h(\theta) = \theta^2$ , and another which is not equivariant.

- (iii) Prove that  $\delta(x)$  is equivariant for  $h(\theta)$  if and only if

$\delta(x) = \delta_0(x)/\nu(x)$ , where  $\delta_0(x)$  is a given equivariant estimator for  $h(\theta)$  and  $\nu(x)$  is invariant under  $G$ .

- (iv) Describe briefly the technique of deriving the minimum risk equivariant (MRE) estimator of  $h(\theta)$ . (You may use a suitable example to illustrate the technique.)

Is the MRE minimax?