This note is meant to sum up some elementary statements on PT-symmetric, finite dimensional QM by Samir Donmazov and Paul de Lange.

## 0.1 P and T

Let K denote c.c., then

$$T = K \cdot A \tag{1}$$

for a unitary operator A. We will for now take A = 1. Let P be the parity operator.

$$P^2 = 1, \ [P,T] = 0 \tag{2}$$

We wish to formulate a theory of QM that does not presume Hermitian symmetry, that is we will only demand operators O acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  to commute with PT

$$[O, PT] = 0 \tag{3}$$

Note that

$$P = R^{-1} P_0 R, \ P_0 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)$$
(4)

Let  $(n_+, n_-)$  denote the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of  $P_0$  respectively, and let from now on dim  $\mathcal{H} = 2n$ . Then in principle, and choice of  $(n_+, n_-)$  yield a representation of P. Now surely,  $n_{\pm} = 0$  means  $P = \pm 1$  and the space of PT-symmetric operators becomes the space  $GL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . We will be interested for now in the case  $(n_+, n_-) = (n, n)$ . This choice will put the most stringent conditions on an operator O to be PT-symmetric, but also its these representations that rotate to a basis wherein

$$P' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

and if  $|\psi\rangle_i$ ,  $i = -n, \ldots, n$  span  $\mathcal{H}$ , than P' just does  $i \mapsto -i$  and in a continuum limit this will look a lot like space reflection  $P: x \mapsto -x$ .

At this point we will demand operators acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  to be symmetric, as this ensures the eigenstates to be orthogonal, so that R in 4 is in O(2n). In fact, R acts trivially on the first  $n^2$  diagonal block of  $P_0$  and idem for the lower part, so that really

$$P \in O(2n, \mathbf{R}) / (O(n, \mathbf{R}) \times O(n, \mathbf{R})) / \mathbf{Z}_2$$
(6)

where the  $\mathbf{Z}_2$  is just overall multiplication by  $\pm 1$ . Note that P has  $2n^2 + 1$  parameters.

What is the inner product on  $\mathcal{H}$ ? The first natural candidate is to take

$$\langle \psi | = (PT|\psi\rangle)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{7}$$

But now

$$\langle \psi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\psi_i|^2 - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} |\psi_i|^2$$
 (8)

First of all, we see that for PT-symmetry also, a wave vector is defined modulo U(1). Moreover the inner-product is not positive definite. In fact the group G of unitary transformations wrt this inner product is

$$G = O(n, n) \tag{9}$$

To resolve this issue, we introduce the operator C

$$C = \sum_{i} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \tag{10}$$

Note that this operator is not C = 1 with the current definitions of bra and ket. We now redefine the bra by

$$\langle \psi | = (CPT|\psi\rangle)^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{11}$$

so that with this new definition of bra, the completeness relation does hold. Also, now the metric is positive definite.

## 0.2 random PT

The goal is to write down the ensemble of random PT symmetry. First, for a PT symmetric matrix H, the proper invariant is now

$$\langle H|H\rangle = \operatorname{Tr}\left((CPT \cdot H)^T \cdot H\right)$$
(12)

and we propose to consider the ensemble

$$P(H) = \kappa e^{-\text{Tr}\langle H, H \rangle_{CPT}} \prod_{i,j} dH_{ij}$$
(13)

We will assume that H is symmetric, to ensure the eigenstates of H are orthogonal. First we'll consider the 2-dimensional case, and later work out higher and large-n. The most general PT-invariant, symmetric Hamiltonian H is

$$H = R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & ib\\ ib & c \end{pmatrix} R \tag{14}$$

 $R \in O(2).$ 

$$P(H) = \kappa' e^{-(a^2 + 2b^2 + c^2)} da \, db \, dc \tag{15}$$

where we integrated out the O(2) rotation angle.